

4701 W. Russell Rd Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89118-2231 Phone (702) 455-5942 Fax (702) 383-9994

PART 70 OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT (STATEMENT of BASIS)

APPLICATION FOR: Significant Revision

SUBMITTED BY: EMD Acquisition LLC

FOR: EMD Acquisition LLC Source: 00095

LOCATION: 560 West Lake Mead Parkway Henderson, Nevada 89015

SIC code 2819, "Industrial Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing" NAICS code 325180, "Other Basic Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing"

Application Received: November 23, 2022

TSD Date: January 11, 2024

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EMD Acquisition LLC, formerly Tronox LLC, (the source) is located within the BMI industrial park near Henderson, Nevada. The legal description of the source location is: portions of T22S, R62E, Sections 12-13 in Las Vegas Valley, County of Clark, State of Nevada. The source is situated in the Las Vegas Valley Hydrographic Area 212. The Las Vegas Valley is currently designated as attainment for all pollutants except ozone. The Las Vegas Valley Hydrographic Basin 212 was designated marginal nonattainment for ozone on August 3, 2018, and redesignated moderate nonattainment on January 5, 2023, for the 2015 standard. The changes in designation did not impose any new requirements at this time. The source is classified as a Categorical Stationary Source (chemical process plants), as defined by AQR Section 12.2.2(j)(1). The source is categorized under SIC Code SIC 2819 Industrial Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing and NAICS code: 32518: Other Basic Inorganic Chemical Manufacturing. The source identified themselves as a major source for single Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP) (as Manganese Compounds) and Total HAP based on the minor Authority to Construct application submitted on November 23, 2022. The source is a synthetic minor of PM10 and a true minor for PM2.5, NOx, CO, SO2, and VOC. The source emits greenhouse gases (GHG) and it is not a major source for GHG emissions. The source is a categorical source (chemical processing plant) as defined by AQR 12.2.2(j)(21).

The source operates chemical processes which produce inorganic chemicals. The three primary chemicals are Manganese Dioxide (MnO₂), Elemental Boron and Boron Trichloride (BCl₃). The source also produces Advanced Battery Materials (ABM) from the MnO₂. In addition, the source also operates diesel-fired emergency engines, natural gas boilers, cooling towers, a gasoline tank, roads and stockpiles and a laboratory to support operations, some of which are deemed insignificant units or activities.

Pursuant to a Hearing Officer Order, the source has submitted a revision application make changes to emission units, revise Single HAP emissions, revise Total HAP emissions, speciate lead emissions from the HAP, and address housekeeping issues. DAQ will issue a revise Part 70 Operating Permit.

The following table summarizes the source potential to emit for each regulated air pollutant from all emission units addressed by this Part 70 Operating Permit:

Pollutant	PM 10	PM2.5	NOx	со	SO ₂	voc	HAP (Mn Compoun ds)	HAP (Pb Compoun ds_	Total HAPs	H₂S	НСІ	Cl ₂	GHG ²
Tons/year	33.30	13.73	40.34	19.86	0.38	3.96	23.11	0.03	25.02	0.02	0.39	0.01	74,170
Major Source Thresholds (Title V)	100	100	100	100	100	100			10/25 ¹				
Major Stationary Source Thresholds (PSD)	250	250	250	250	250	250			10/25 ¹				

 Table 1: Source Potential To Emit and Program Applicability

Major Stationary Source Threshold (Nonattainm ent)		100		100							
---	--	-----	--	-----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

 1 Ten tons for any individual hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons for the combination of all hazardous air pollutants. 2 Metric tons per year, CO₂e.

DAQ will continue to require the sources to estimate their GHG potential to emit in terms of each individual pollutant (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, SF₆ etc). The TSD includes these PTEs for informational purposes.

This source is subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc, and 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ, and 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD.

DAQ has received delegated authority from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to implement the requirements of the Part 70 OP. Based on the information submitted by the applicant, supplemental information provided to the application, and a technical review performed by DAQ staff, the draft revised Part 70 OP to EMD Acquisition LLC is proposed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	ACRONYMS	6
II.	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	8
	A. Description of SOURCE	.8
	B. Alternate Operating Scenario(S)	.8
	C. Permitting History	.8
	D. Current Permitting Action	.8
III.	EMISSIONS INFORMATION 1	0
	A. Emission Units List1	0
	B. Source-wide PTE1	2
	C. Emissions Calculations1	3
	D. Operational Limits	5
	E. Control Technology1	5
	F. Monitoring1	5
	G. Performance Testing	5
IV.	REGULATORY REVIEW 1	5
	A. Local Regulatory Requirements1	5
	B. Federally Applicable Regulations1	8
V.	COMPLIANCE	2
	A. Compliance History2	2
	B. Compliance Certification2	2
	C. Summary of Monitoring for Compliance	:3
VI.	EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS (OFFSETS)	5
VII.	MODELING	5
	A. Increment Analysis	25
	B. preconstruction modeling	:6
VIII.	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 2	6
IX.	PERMIT SHIELD 2	6
X.	STREAMLINING	6
XI.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	7
XII.	ATTACHMENTS 2	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Source Potential To Emit and Program Applicability	2
Table I-1: List of Acronyms	6
Table II-C-1: Permit History	8
Table III-A-1: Summary of Emission Units	10
Table III-A-2: Insignificant Activities	12
Table III-B-1: Source-wide PTE (tons per year)	13
Table III-C-1: Total Source Applicability	13
Table III-C-2: Total Source PTE	14
Table III-C-3: Emissions Increase (including fugitives)	14
Table IV-A-1: Clark County Department of Air Quality-Air Quality Regulations and SIP Status	16
Table V-A-1: Compliance History Since Last Renewal/Initial Part 70 OP	22
Table V-B-1: Reporting Schedule	23
Table V-C-1: CAM Summary from the Permittee and DAQ Comments	23
Table V-C-2: CAM Applicable Emission Units and Findings	25
Table VII-A-1: PSD Increment Consumption	26
Table X-1: Streamlining	26

I. ACRONYMS

Table I-1: List of Acronyms

Acronym	Term
ANFO	ammonium nitrate-fuel oil
AQR	Clark County Air Quality Regulation
ATC	Authority to Construct
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CF	control factor
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
СО	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CD	control device
DAQ	Division of Air Quality
DES	Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability
DOM	date of manufacture
EF	emissions factor
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EU	emission unit
g/dscm	gram per dry standard cubic meter
gr/dscf	grains per dry standard cubic feet
GHG	greenhouse gas
HA	Hydrographic Area
HAP	hazardous air pollutant
hp	horsepower
kW	kilowatts
MMBtu/hr	Million British Thermal Units per Hour
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standard
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NOx	nitrogen oxide(s)
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter
PM ₁₀	particulate matter less than 10 microns in aerodynamic diameter
PSD	prevention of significant deterioration
PTE	potential to emit
RACT	Reasonably Achievable Control Technology
SCC	Source Classification Code
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide

Acronym	Term
SOP	standard operating procedure
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TPH	tons per hour
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VGF	vibrating grizzly feeder
VMT	vehicle miles traveled
VOC	volatile organic compound

II. SOURCE DESCRIPTION

A. DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE

The source is located in the BMI industrial park near Henderson, Nevada. The source manufactures inorganic chemicals. The source identified themselves as a major source for single Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP) (as Manganese Compounds) and Total HAP based on the significant Authority to Construct application submitted on November 23, 2022. The source is a synthetic minor of PM₁₀ and a true minor for PM_{2.5}, NO_x, CO, SO₂, and VOC. The source emits greenhouse gases (GHG) and it is not a major source for GHG emissions.

The source is an inorganic chemical manufacturing plant that produces three primary chemicals:

- ▶ MnO₂ used in alkaline batteries;
- > Elemental boron a component in automotive safety igniters; and
- BCl₃ used in the pharmaceutical and semiconductor industries, and the manufacture of high-strength boron fibers for the sporting equipment and aircraft industries.

In support of these processes, the source has used four diesel-fired emergency generators. There are also two large boilers, two cooling towers, roads, stockpiles, surfaces and a gasoline dispensing operation.

B. ALTERNATE OPERATING SCENARIO(S)

None proposed.

C. PERMITTING HISTORY

This is a significant revision to the Part 70 OP. The following represents permitting activities prior to this permitting action since the last renewal:

Issue Date	Description
11/18/2019	Initial Part 70 OP issued
6/29/2020	Significant Revision (ATC)
7/13/2020	Notice and go
8/31/2020	Administrative Revision
11/17/2021	RFC
11/17/2021	RFC
5/23/2022	Notice and go

Table II-C-1: Permit History

D. CURRENT PERMITTING ACTION

The permittee submitted an application for revision on November 23, 2022. The application was supplemented on January 11, 2023 and March 6, 2023.

1. This is a significant revision to the Part 70 OP. The permittee is requesting the following:

- a. Speciate lead compounds, revise manganese compounds to correct a spreadsheet error, and revise Total HAP emissions as required by Compliance.
- b. Add chemical monitoring and assay of feedstocks and product as required by Compliance.
- c. Add housekeeping conditions as required by Hearing Officer's Order including setting a timeline condition for the construction of ore bunkers. The timeline was established by DAQ Compliance.
- d. Replace industrial cooling tower CT02 with a new industrial cooling tower CT03. CT02 was removed in 2022 and the new CT03 was installed May 19, 2022
- 2. In addition to changes proposed by the applicant, DAQ made the following changes to the permit:
 - a. Addressed permit change requests from Compliance Pending File relating to PTE totals that did not sum consistently with the TSD and database.
 - b. Performed revised applicability and status determination calculation to identify which pollutants are major, synthetic minor, or minor based on revised methodology.
 - c. Added a control requirement to match the monitoring requirement related to the scrubber solution specific gravity in the sulfiding process. This was discovered to be missing during a Compliance inspection.
 - d. Added columns to tables for lead compounds and other compounds mentioned in TSD but not permit. Made the TSD PTE table match the permit PTE table with respect to the pollutants list.
 - e. Added the "Conditions" column to Table III-B-1 so permittee can use the ratio-based emission inventory reporting tool if desired.
 - f. Added nonroad engine standard language.
 - g. Revised the semi and annual reporting language
 - h. Added a control device information table.
 - i. Removed conditions for AQR 92 and/or 94 that were added in a Reopen for Cause. After discussion with the District Attorney's Office on March 2, 2023, the conditions were removed because the revision to the AQR is taking longer than expected. The fugitive dust conditions present in previous permits were restored. Revised AQR 92/94 conditions will be reinserted once the revised rules are promulgated.
 - j. Updated emergency engine language for nonemergency demand response to reflect changed in the CFR.
 - k. Inserted language about diesel fuel sulfur content to reflect EPA comments.
 - 1. Updated baghouse monitoring conditions are being added.
 - m. Added reference to the Guideline for Source Testing for BET.

III. EMISSIONS INFORMATION

A. EMISSION UNITS LIST

Table III-A-1 lists the emission units covered by this Part 70 OP.

Table III-A-1: Summary of Emission Units

EU	Description
	Emergency Engines
۸01	Diesel Emergency Generator, Kohler, MN: 50R0ZJ61, SN: 338784
AUT	John Deere, 92 HP, DOM: pre 2006, MN ¹ : TO4039T427638
402	Diesel Emergency Generator, Kohler, MN: 100R0ZJ71, SN: 378083
AUZ	John Deere, 166 HP, DOM: pre 2006, MN ¹ : CD6059T279209
A03	Diesel Emergency Generator, Kohler, MN: 100R0ZJ71, SN: 37802
A03	John Deere, 166 HP, DOM: pre 2006, MN ¹ : CD6059T279212
A04	Diesel Emergency Generator, Kohler, MN: 250R0ZD71, SN: 356117
A04	John Deere, 423 HP, DOM: pre 2006, MN ¹ : 06VF209030
	Large Boilers
A05	Boiler, Cleaver Brooks, 96.6 MMBtu/hr, Natural Gas, MN: DLD-700-94 (R.H.), SN: W002895
A07	Boiler, Murray Trane, 72.0 MMBtu/hr, Natural Gas, MN: MCF4-57, SN: 10851
	Roads and Disturbed Surfaces
B01	Disturbed Surfaces, 6.00 Acres
G01	Paved Roads, 5,866 VMT/year
	Boron Process
E003	Boron Process System– Process other than the firing chambers, including Boron Grinding Mill and Crusher, 10 hp Baghouse
E004	Boron Process – Firing Chambers (20), 15 hp Baghouse
	Boron Trichloride Process
F006	Boron Trichloride Process – Boron Trichloride Reactors (5) and Refining Process, Scrubber
	Manganese Dioxide Processing
MN01A	Manganese Dioxide Process: Ore Delivery to Storage EU: MN06A (fugitive PM)
MN01B	Manganese Dioxide Process: Ore Transfer to Hearths with Baghouse (uncaptured PM)
MN01C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Hearth Turning with Baghouse (uncaptured PM)
MN01D	Manganese Dioxide Process: Hearth Harvesting with Baghouse (uncaptured PM)
9555	Manganese Dioxide Process: East Polishing Bin with Baghouse (uncaptured PM)
9556	Manganese Dioxide Process: West Polishing Bin with Baghouse (uncaptured PM)
1D	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Rotary Drum Dryer With Collector/Baghouse
1C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Loader to tank 49, Tank 49 to Conveyor 20 with Crusher Baghouse
2C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 20 to Jaw Crusher, SOLH03 Jaw Crusher, Jaw Crusher to Conveyor 22, with Crusher Baghouse
4C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 22 to Screen 02, Screen 02 (oversize), Screen 02 to tank 53 (oversize bin), Screen 02 to Tank 65 with Crusher Baghouse
5C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 53 (oversize bin), Tank 53 to Conveyor 23 with Crusher Baghouse

6C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 23 to Gyratory Crusher, SOLH3C Gyratory Crusher, Gyratory Crusher to Conveyor 22 (recirculation) with Crusher Baghouse
7C	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 65 to Conveyor 24, Conveyor 24 to Conveyor 25, Conveyor 25 to Conveyor 05 with Crusher Baghouse
1M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 05 to Tank 38, Tank 38 to Tank 70 (100 ton bin), Tank 38 to Conveyor 06, Tank 38 to Conveyor 07, Tank 38 to Conveyor 28 with Mill Feed Baghouse
2M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 07 to Conveyor 09 with Mill Feed Baghouse
ЗM	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 06 to Conveyor 08 with Mill Feed Baghouse
4M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 28 to Tank 71 with Mill Feed Baghouse
5M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 71 (west bin) to Conveyor 3H with Mill Feed Baghouse
6M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 70 (100 ton bin) to Conveyor 29 with Mill Feed Baghouse
7M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 29 to Conveyor 32, Conveyor 32 to Conveyor 33, Conveyor 33 to Tank 38 (recirculation) with Mill Feed Baghouse
8M	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 3H to Conveyor 3M with Mill Feed Baghouse
1F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Dryer Baghouse fines to Conveyor 13, Finishing Baghouse Fines to Conveyor 39, Conveyor 39 to Conveyor 14, Conveyor 13 to Conveyor 14, with Finishing Baghouse
2F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 14 to Screen 03, Screen 03 (Rotex Screen), Screen 03 to Tank 72, Conveyor 34 to Screen 03, with Finishing Baghouse
3F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Conveyor 14 to Screen 01, Screen 01 (Rotex Screen), Screen 01 to Tank 72, Conveyor 34 to Screen 01, with Finishing Baghouse
4F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Screen 01 and Screen 03 to Oversize Air Slide, Oversize Air Slide to Dyna Slide, Dyna Slide to Rotex Slurry Tank, with Finishing Baghouse
5F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 72 to Conveyor 27 (Pneumatic Conveyor), Conveyor 27 (Pneumatic Conveyor) to Tank 73 (Product Silo), Conveyor 34 to Tank 72, with Finishing Baghouse
6F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – MISC 35 (Fast Dump Station), MISC 35 to Conveyor 35, Conveyor 35 to Conveyor 34, with Finishing Baghouse
8F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 73 (Product Silo) to Blender #2 (East Blender), Blender #2 (East Blender), Blender #2 (East Blender) to West Bagger, with Finishing Baghouse
9F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – Tank 73 to Conveyor 31 (Air Slide), Conveyor 31 (Air Slide) to Blender #1 (West Blender), Blender #1 (West Blender), Blender #1 (West Blender) to East Bagger, with Finishing Baghouse
10F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – East Supersack Loading, with Finishing Baghouse
11F	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – West Supersack Loading, with Finishing Baghouse
M02	Manganese Dioxide Process: Unit 6 – EMD Plate Crushing, Crushed plate Transfer
MN02	Manganese Dioxide Process: Hearth Baghouse Stack, and Polishing Bins (stack emissions)
MN03	Manganese Dioxide Process: Hearth CO Reduction Reactor Stack (stack emissions)
	Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide Cells
MN04	Manganese Dioxide Process: 217 EMD Cells
	Sulfiding Process
MN05	Sulfiding Process

	Process Stockpiles
MN06A	Ore Storage Stockpiles (MnO ₂ ore, outdoor)
MN06B	Crushed Plate Stockpile (MnO2 in Unit 6)
	Cooling Towers
CT01	Cooling Tower #1, Marley-Aqua, 90 GPM, 4,000 ppm, 0.001% Drift, MN: 3800, SN: 564526/B24526M/3851/94, (at leach plant)
CT03	Cooling Tower #3, Baltimore Aircoil, 1,485 GPM, 4,000 ppm, 0.001% Drift, MN:S15E-1212-12- MN, SN: U220340603_01-01, (at Unit 5)
	Gasoline Dispensing
T01	Gasoline Tank, 1,000 Gallon, Aboveground
	Advanced Battery Manufacturing
ABM01	ABM Process 1 Consisting of an ABM Jet Mill System. ABM Jet Mill System Includes the Following Operations/Equipment: End Superstack to Jet Mill, ABM Jet Mill, Collector #1, Tote Bin from Dust Collector, Vent Blower, with Collector/Baghouse (Baghouse #1)
ABM02	ABM Process 2 Consisting of an ABM Classifier "A" System. ABM Classifier System Includes the Following Operations/Equipment: Feed Tote Bin to Classifier "A", Classifier "A", Course Material Tote Bin from Classifier "A", Classifier Cyclone, Cyclone Fines Drum, Collector #2, Drum of Fines from Dust Collector, Vent Blower, with Collector/Baghouse (Baghouse #2)
ABM03	ABM Process 3 Consisting of an ABM DPM Mill System. ABM DPM Mill System Includes the Following Operations/Equipment: Feed Tote to DPM Mill, DPM Mill, Collector #4, Tote Bin from Dust Collector, Vent Blower, with Collector/Baghouse (Baghouse #3)
ABM04	ABM Process 4 Consisting of an ABM Conversion Calciner System Routed to Calciner Baghouse. ABM Conversion Calciner System Includes the Following Operations/Equipment: Feed Tote Bin to Conversion Calciner, Conversion Calciner (3-Zone), Product Tote Bin from Conversion Calciner, Feed Tote Bin to Product Packaging, Product Packaging, Vent Blower, Secondary Filter, with Calciner/Baghouse (Baghouse #1)

¹ This is the only number that can be found on the engine itself.

The following units or activities listed in in Table III-A-2 are present at this source, but are deemed insignificant.

Table III-A-2: Insignificant Activities

Description
20,000-gallon diesel above-ground storage tank
Housecleaning vacuums
Boron trichloride crude reboiler <0.10 MMBtu/hr
Boron trichloride refined reboiler <0.10 MMBtu/hr
Manganese dioxide process laboratory vent stacks
Wet grinding mill and filter and associated equipment previously permitted as EU: M04 (Mod 13)

B. SOURCE-WIDE PTE

The source identified themselves as a major source for single Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAP) (as Manganese Compounds) and Total HAP based on the minor Authority to Construct application submitted on November 23, 2022. The source is a synthetic minor of PM_{10} and a true minor for $PM_{2.5}$, NO_x , CO, SO₂, and VOC. The source emits greenhouse gases (GHG) and it is not a major source for GHG emissions.

Pollutant	PM 10	PM2.5	NOx	со	SO ₂	voc	HAP (Mn Compoun ds)	HAP (Pb Compoun ds_	Total HAPs	H₂S	HCI	Cl₂	GHG ²
Tons/year	33.30	13.73	40.34	19.86	0.38	3.96	23.11	0.03	25.02	0.02	0.39	<0.01	74,170

Table III-B-1: Source-wide PTE (tons per year)

¹Metric tons per year.

C. EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

The following table summarizes the Applicability/Classification.

Permitting applicability and classification is determined by calculating the emissions for all proposed emission units using 8,760 hours of operation (except for emergency generators or fire pumps, which use 500 hours), any inherent controls, any inherent throughput limitations, and the emission factors provided by the manufacturer, by source test results, by EPA AP-42, or by other approved methods.

Applicability emissions include emissions from insignificant emission units and activities, but do not include fugitive emissions (except for categorical sources listed in AQR 12.2.2(j) or any other stationary source category that, as of August 7, 1980, is being regulated under Sections 111 or 112 of the Act). Detailed calculations are available in the source file.

Table III-C-1 shows the thresholds for AQR 12.1.1(d) applicability.

Pollutant	P M 10	PM2.5	NOx	со	SO ₂	VOC	HAP (Mn Compo unds) ¹	HAP (Pb Compo unds) ¹	HAP (total) ¹	H₂S	НСІ	Cl₂	GHG ¹
Applicability Thresholds	5	5	5	25	25	5	n/a	0.3	n/a	1			
Major Source Thresholds	100	100	100	100	100	100	10	10	10/25				
Nonattainm ent NSR Thresholds	100	100	100	100	100	100	n/a	n/a	n/a				
PSD Thresholds	250	250	250	250	250	250	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Applicability Emissions Total	308.89	57.19	57.84	20.73	0.48	5.17	296.53	0.13	300.15	0.02	0.39	0.01	104,712

Table III-C-1: Total Source Applicability

¹10 tons for any single HAP, or 25 tons for any combination of HAP pollutants.

AQR 12.1 is applicable to any stationary source located in Clark County that has the potential to emit (PTE) a regulated air pollutant equal to or greater than the thresholds listed in AQR 12.1.1(d), as shown in Table , but less than the major source thresholds listed in AQRs 12.2.2(ff) or 12.3.2(r).

AQR 12.1 is also applicable to any Part 70 source that is exempt from the requirement to obtain a Part 70 operating permit and has a PTE that equals or exceeds the thresholds listed in AQR 12.1.1(d), or to any source that takes a voluntarily accepted emission limit or standard pursuant to AQR 12.1.7 to avoid obtaining a Part 70 operating permit. See the attachment for calculations.

This source exceeds the applicability limit for PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, NOx, Pb, Mn Compounds, and Total HAP, so it is required to obtain an air quality permit.

The following tables summarize the PTE.

PTE is calculated to include any controls or limits, whether voluntarily proposed by the source or required. PTE does not include insignificant emission units and activities, but does include fugitive emissions.

Table III-C-2 shows the PTE associated with this source; PTE calculations are included in the attachments.

PM 10	PM2.5	NOx	со	SO ₂	VOC	HAP (Mn Compoun ds)	HAP (Pb Compoun ds)	HAP (total)	H₂S	HCI	Cl2	GHG ¹
33.30	13.73	40.34	19.86	0.38	3.96	23.11	0.03	25.02	0.02	0.39	<0.01	74,170

Table III-C-2: Total Source PTE

Emission Increase

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	/				
	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	CO	SO_2	VOC	H₂S	Pb
Threshold for Significance (12.1)	7.5	7.5	20	35	40	20	5	0.6
Minor NSR Significance (12.4)	7.5	5.0	20	50	20	20	5	0.6
Significant 12.3.2(dd)	15	10	40	100	40	40	-	0.6
Existing Permit	33.72	14.04	40.34	19.86	0.38	3.96	0.02	-
New Permit	33.30	13.73	40.34	19.86	0.38	3.96	0.02	0.03
Total ∆ PTE	-0.42	-0.31	0	0	0	0	0	0.03 ¹
Triggers	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table III-C-3: Emissions Increase (including fugitives)

¹ Lead was previously part of the HAP column. No actual increase is occurring.

The emission increase associated with this permit action does not trigger a controls analysis. Emission changes associated with clarifications or by breaking single HAPs out of the Total HAP would not be counted as an increase for this evaluation.

Green House Gas emissions are not in excess of 100,000 tpy. Therefore, no requirements have been triggered, and no conditions placed in the permit.

Emissions Statement

Any stationary source that actually emits a total of 25 tons or more of NO_x and/or 25 tons or more of VOCs is required to submit an annual emissions statement for both pollutants. The statement must provide actual annual NO_x and VOC emissions from all activities, including emission units, insignificant activities, and exempt activities, and will be separate from the emissions inventory (i.e., calculated annual emissions) report permittees submit each year. This requirement shall be a permit condition for any source with the potential to emit at least 20 tons of NO_x and/or VOCs.

D. OPERATIONAL LIMITS

DAQ prohibited sources from operating emergency generators for those activities, consistent with the court decision and EPA's April 15, 2016, implementation memo. On August 10, 2022, EPA published a notice in the *Federal Register* (87 FR 48603) formally promulgating changes to the three CFR subparts listed above. Now, except as provided in 40 CFR Part 60.4211(f)(3)(i), and/or 40 CFR Part 60.4243(d)(3)(i), and/or 40 CFR Parts 63.6640(f)(4)(i) and (ii), emergency generators cannot by definition be used for peak shavings or nonemergency demand response, or to generate income for a facility by supplying power to an electric grid or to otherwise supply power as part of a financial arrangement with another entity. (EUs: A01-A04)

No operational limits are changing with this permitting action.

E. CONTROL TECHNOLOGY

All prior control requirements remain the same as in the previous permit.

F. MONITORING

All prior monitoring requirements remain the same or have been updated to current language. Additional monitoring of housekeeping and chemical assay have been added as part of an enforcement action.

All prior CAM requirements remain the same.

G. PERFORMANCE TESTING

No new performance testing is required due to this permitting this action.

IV. REGULATORY REVIEW

A. LOCAL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Air Quality has determined that the following public law, statutes and associated regulations are applicable:

- 1. Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), Chapter 445B;
- 2. Portions of the AQR that are included in the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Clark County, Nevada. SIP requirements are federally enforceable. All requirements from Authority to Construct permits and Section 16 Operating Permits issued by Air Quality are federally enforceable because these permits were issued pursuant to SIP-included sections of the AQR; and

3. Portions of the AQR that are <u>not</u> included in the SIP. These locally applicable requirements are locally enforceable only.

The Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) are public laws that establish the general authority for the Regulations mentioned.

The Air Quality Part 70 (Title V) Program received Final Approval on November 30, 2001 with publication of that approval appearing in the Federal Register December 5, 2001 Vol. 66, No. 234. AQR Section 19 - Part 70 Operating Permits [Amended 07/01/04] details the Clark County Part 70 Operating Permit Program. On September 20, 2010, Clark County submitted a revision to the operating permit program (AQR Section 12.5) pursuant to 40 CFR Part 70.4(i)(2). EPA has not acted on that request yet. These regulations may be accessed on the Internet at: http://www.clarkcountynv.gov/depts/AirQuality/Pages/Rules_CurrentRulesandRegulations.aspx

Local regulations contain sections that are federally enforceable and sections that are locally enforceable only. Locally enforceable only rules have not been approved by EPA for inclusion into the State Implementation Plan (SIP). Requirements and conditions that that will eventually appear in the Part 70 OP which are related only to non-SIP rules are notated as locally enforceable only.

Table IV-A-1: Clark County Department of Air Quality– Air Quality Regulations and SIP Status

Applicable Section – Title	Applicable Subsection - Title	SIP	Affected Emission Unit
0. Definitions	applicable definitions	yes	entire source
1. Definitions	"Affected Facility", "Dust", "Existing Gasoline Station", "Fumes", "Mist", "New Gasoline Stations", "New Source", "Single Source", "Standard Conditions", "Uncombined Water".	Yes	entire source
4. Control Officer	all subsections 4.7.3 and 4.12.1 through 4.12.3 in SIP	partial	entire source
5. Interference with Control Officer	all subsections	yes	entire source
6. Injunctive Relief	all subsections	yes	entire source
7. Hearing Board and Hearing Officer	all subsections	no	entire source
8. Persons Liable for Penalties - Punishment: Defense	all subsections	yes	entire source
9. Civil Penalties	all subsections	no	entire source
12.0. Applicability, General Requirements and Transition Procedures	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.2 Prevention of Significant Deterioration in Attainment Areas	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.3 Permit Requirements for Major Sources in Nonattainment Areas	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.4 Authority to Construct Permit Requirements for Part 70 Sources	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.5 Part 70 Operating Permit Requirements	all subsections	yes	entire source

12.6 Confidentiality	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.7 Emission Reduction Credits	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.9 Annual Emission Inventory Requirements	all subsections	yes	entire source
12.10 Continuous Monitoring Requirements for Stationary Sources	all subsections	yes	entire source
13. Emission Standards for	Delegated Program CCAQR Section 13.2(b)(82): Subpart ZZZZ National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutant for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines	no	diesel engines
Hazardous Pollutants	Delegated Program CCAQR Section 13.2(b)(86): Subpart DDDDD Standards of Performance for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters	no	boilers
14. New Source Performance Standards	Delegated Program CCAQR Section 14.1(b)(5): Subpart Dc Standards of Performance for Small Industrial – Commercial – Institutional Steam Generating Units	no	A05
18. Permit and Technical Service Fees	all subsections 18.1 through 18.5.2 and 18.6 through 18.12 in SIP	partial	entire source
21. Acid Rain Permits	all subsections	no	entire source
22. Acid Rain Continuous Emission Monitoring	all subsections	no	entire source
24. Sampling and Testing - Records and Reports	 § 24.1 Requirements for installation and maintenance of sampling and testing facilities § 24.2 Requirements for emissions record keeping § 24.3 Requirements for the record format § 24.4 Requirements for the retention of records by the emission sources (Note: Repealed from SIP on Oct 17, 2014) 	no	entire source
25.1 Upset/Breakdown, Malfunctions (1981)	§ 25.1 Requirements for the excess emissions caused by upset/breakdown and malfunctions	no	entire source
25.2 Upset/Breakdown, Malfunctions (1981)	§ 25.2 Reporting and Consultation	yes	entire source
26. Emission of Visible Air Contaminants (1981)	§ 26.1 Limit on opacity (\leq an average of 20 percent for a period of more than 6 consecutive minutes)	yes	entire source
27. Particulate Matter from Process Weight Rate	all subsections	yes	entire source
28. Fuel Burning Equipment	Emission Limitations for PM	yes	entire source
29. Sulfur Contents of Fuel Oil	Repealed by County	yes	entire source
30. Incinerators	Repealed by County	yes	entire source
33. Chlorine in Chemical Processes	All subsections	yes	entire source
40. Prohibitions of Nuisance Conditions	§ 40.1 Prohibitions	no	entire source
41. Fugitive Dust	§ 41.1.2 Prohibitions	yes	entire source
42. Open Burning	§ 42.2	no	entire source
43. Odors In the Ambient Air	§ 43.1 Prohibitions coded as Section 29	no	entire source

52. Gasoline Dispensing Facilities	Most recent version repealed by County. Removal request rejected by EPA. SIP version circa 1979.	yes	entire source
60. Evaporation and Leakage	all subsections Repealed by County and from SIP in 2011	no	entire source
70. Emergency Procedures	all subsections	yes	entire source
80. Circumvention	all subsections	yes	entire source
81. Provisions of Regulations Severable	all subsections	yes	entire source
90. Fugitive Dust, Open Areas and Vacant Lots	all subsections	yes	entire source
91. Fugitive Dust, Unpaved Roads, Unpaved Alleys and Unpaved Easement Roads	all subsections	yes	entire source
93. Fugitive Dust, Paved Roads and Street Sweeping Equipment	all subsections	yes	entire source

B. FEDERALLY APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

- 1. Clean Air Act, as amended (CAAA), Authority: 42 U.S.C. § 7401, et seq.;
- 2. Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR);

40 CFR PART 60-STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR NEW STATIONARY SOURCES:

a. Subpart A - General Provisions

40 CFR 60.7-Notification and record keeping

Discussion: This regulation requires notification to Air Quality of modifications, opacity testing, records of malfunctions of process equipment and/or continuous monitoring device, and performance test data. These requirements are found in the Part 70 OP. Air Quality requires records to be maintained for five years, a more stringent requirement than the two years required by 40 CFR 60.7.

40 CFR 60.8 - Performance tests

Discussion: These requirements are found in the Part 70 OP. Notice of intent to test, the applicable test methods, acceptable test method operating conditions, and the requirement for three runs are outlined in this regulation. Air Quality also requires periodic performance testing on emission units based upon throughput or usage or compliance demonstration. Air Quality will require performance testing of the EUs and stacks that have new EF based on a small population of performance test results. Once the EF are proven out by a larger population of test results, a different subsequent test frequency can be discussed.

40 CFR 60.11 - Compliance with standards and maintenance requirements

Discussion: AQR Section 26 is more stringent than the federal opacity standards, setting a maximum of 20 percent obscurity for a period of more than 6 consecutive minutes. The source will operate in a manner consistent with this section of the regulation.

40 CFR 60.12 - Circumvention

Discussion: This prohibition is addressed in the Part 70 OP. This is also local rule AQR Section 80.1.

40 CFR Part 60 – New Source Performance Standards

Because the source is proposing a reclassification from minor source to major source, a new evaluation of applicable NSPS is required. Potentially applicable subparts are included with discussion.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart D_C – Standards of Performance for Small; Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

Discussion: This rule applies to units constructed, modified or reconstructed after June 9, 1989 with rated capacity between 10 and 100 MMBtu/hr. EU A05 is of the right size and was constructed after the applicability date so Subpart D_C does apply to A05. EU A07 was built before this date so Subpart D_C does not apply.

Discussion: This rule applies to vessels with capacity greater than 75 m3. EU T01 is a gasoline storage tank of only 1,000 gallon capacity so Subpart K_b does not apply.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart LL – Standards of Performance for Metallic Mineral Processing Plants

Discussion: This rule applies to units constructed, or modified, after August 24, 1982 processing metallic mineral containing Aluminum, copper, gold, iron, lead, molybdenum, silver, titanium, tungsten, uranium, zinc or zirconium. The source does not process any ores containing these metals so Subpart LL does not apply.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO – Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants

Discussion: This rule applies to units that process nonmetallic minerals, as defined, in a plant constructed prior to August 31, 1983. Only the Boron Grinding Mill processes one of the listed nonmetallic minerals, but it was constructed before the applicability date, so Subpart OOO does not apply to the source until a modification to the plant occurs.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart UUU – Standards of Performance for Calciners and Dryers in Mineral Industries.

Discussion: This rule applies to units processing or producing a select list of minerals. The source does not process or produce any of these minerals so Subpart UUU does not apply.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines

Discussion: This rule applies to stationary diesel engines which commenced construction (ordered) after July 11, 2005 and were manufactured after April 1, 2006. None of the engines (EUs: A01-A04) at the source were manufactured after April 1, 2006, so Subpart IIII does not apply to them.

40 CFR Parts 61 and 63 - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

Because the source is proposing a reclassification from minor HAP source to major HAP source, a new evaluation of applicable NESHAPs is required. Potentially applicable subparts are included with discussion.

b. Subpart B – Application of MACT

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart B - Requirements for Control Technology Determinations for Major Sources in Accordance With Clean Air Act Sections, Sections 112(g) and 112(j)

Discussion: This subpart applies to major sources of HAP that are new or reconstructed since the applicability date. EPA has not developed a MACT for this source category. The source has demonstrated that they have been not constructed or reconstructed a major source of HAP since EPA granted Air Quality delegation of the Title V program December 13, 1996. The source only installed emission controls. The source claims that methods of operation have not changed since that date, other than "recent operational improvements" made prior to the 2012 source testing, which appear to have altered the emissions profile from the CO control device. The effective date of Section 112(g)(2)(B) for Clark County is June 29, 1998 and The source has not constructed or reconstructed a major source of HAP in and of itself. Therefore, the source is not required to develop a source-specific MACT at this time.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart F - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (SOCMI)

Discussion: This rules applies to processes certain organic chemicals. The source is classified as an inorganic chemical manufacturer so Subpart F does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart N - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks

Discussion: This rule applies to chrome plating and anodizing operations. The source does not use chromium compounds in plating or anodizing so N does not apply. They no longer use Chromium Compounds in the ABM process.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart Q - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial Process Cooling Towers

Discussion: This rule applies to cooling towers using chromium-based chemicals for water treatments. The source does not use any chromium-based water treatments in the cooling towers, so Subpart Q does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GGG - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Pharmaceuticals Production

Discussion: This rule applies to pharmaceutical product production at a major source of HAPs. The source does not fit the NAICS or SIC codes associated with pharmaceutical products production, nor does The source manufacture a final dosage product, nor is the BCl₃ product sold in a quantity to make it a precursor product to the pharmaceutical industry, so Subpart GGG does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart FFFF - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Miscellaneous Organic Chemical Manufacturing

Discussion: The source is classified as an inorganic chemical manufacturer so Subpart FFFF does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (RICE)

Discussion: This rule applies to existing stationary RICE constructed before June 12, 2006 at sources of HAP. The source has four existing emergency engines that fall into this classification, so Subpart ZZZZ applies. Previously, the area source requirements applied, now the major source requirements in Subpart ZZZZ will apply to these emergency engines.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart BBBBB - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Semiconductor Manufacturing

Discussion: This rule applies to the owner/operator of a semiconductor manufacturing process at a major source of HAPs which manufactures p-type and n-type semiconductors from a wafer substrate. The source only produces BCl₃ for sale, so Subpart BBBBB does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Major Sources: Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters

Discussion: This rule applies to boilers or process heaters at major sources of HAP. Under 40 CFR 63.7485, both A05 and A07 qualify as an existing boiler, in excess of 10MMBtu/hr, with oxygen trim. The source's boilers do not qualify for any of the exemptions in 40 CFR 63.7491, so Subpart DDDDD applies. The source has provided Air Quality with a MACT notification form. The source will comply with Table 3, items 1 and 4, referred to by 40 CFR 63.7540 related to emission limits, work practices and an energy assessment. The boilers fall under the gas 1 subcategory because they regularly burn natural gas and EU A05 can also burn diesel in time of curtailment. Because the boilers have a continuous oxygen trim system, this rule requires a tune-up every 5 years under 40 CFR 63.7540(a)(12). The requirements for a tune-up are specified in 40 CFR 63.7540 (a)(10)(i-vi). Air quality requires semiannual burner efficiency tests under the "Guidelines for Source Testing" for boilers greater than 10 MMBtu/hr. A tune-up can be substituted for a semiannual burner efficiency test.

According to the AQR Section 12 (10/7/04) that was in place when the last ATC/OP was issued, the source could use an alternate fuel (diesel) in EU A05 if natural gas was curtailed. The current version of the AQR Section 12.X does not contain a provision for this. However, 40 CFR 63.7545(f) does contain a provision for the use of alternate fuel during natural gas curtailment provided a notification is submitted under 40 CFR 63.7545(f)(1-5). The source must submit an annual compliance report as described in 40 CFR 63.7550(b) and (c) using the electronic CEDRI or mailed to the appropriate address if CDERI is not available. The source shall keep records as required by 40 CFR 63.7555(a)(1, 2, 6, 7, 9-13) and 40 CFR 63.7555(h). Records will be kept for at least 5 years under 40 CFR 63.7565.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart NNNNN - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Hydrochloric Acid Production

Discussion: This rule applies to HCl production facilities producing HCl at a concentration over 30 weight percent at a major source of HAP. The source produces BCl₃ with less than 0.002 weight percent HCl, so Subpart NNNNN does not apply to the source.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart CCCCCC – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Category: Gasoline Dispensing Facilities

Discussion: The source is now a major source of HAP so this rule no longer applies. Air Quality will keep all the conditions and requirements to be consistent with other sources having a gasoline dispensing facility, and because Phase I and II controls are present and control credit is being taken. The citation will be changed to AQR 12.4.3.1(a)(7, 9 and 10).

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJJ -National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources

Discussion: The source is a major source of HAP so Subpart JJJJJJ does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart VVVVVV - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Chemical Manufacturing Area Sources

Discussion: In the June 1, 2010 permitting action TSD (Mod 13), the applicability of Subpart VVVVV was discussed. At the time, the source was thought to be minor for HAPs. The source consulted with EPA and obtained a waiver from compliance for one year so they could construct all the lids and covers as required. The source reports it is major for HAP (Mn), so Subpart VVVVV does not apply.

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart BBBBBBB - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Area Sources: Chemical Preparations Industry

Discussion: This rule applies to area sources of HAP and the source is a major source of HAP, so Subpart BBBBBBB does not apply.

40 CFR Part 64 – COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING

40 CFR 64.2 – Applicability

Discussion: CAM requirements have been addressed during the initial Part 70 OP.

V. COMPLIANCE

A. COMPLIANCE HISTORY

Table V-A-1: Compliance History Since Last Renewal/Initial Part 70 OP

Compliance Issue	Date/Status
NOV 9573- administrative infractions, exceeding boiler	Deficiency Corrected and
emissions, exceeding boiler operating hours, failure to maintain	Adjudicated 9/16/2021
CO control device, failure to operate a crusher control device	
within specified pressure drop range, failure to operate a scrubber	
within specified, failure to use an hour meter to monitor hours of	
operation of a boiler.	
NOV 9621- exceeding mass emission limits for PM and PM _{2.5}	Deficiency Corrected and
from the hearth baghouse stack.	Adjudicated 11/18/2021

B. COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION

1. The schedule for the submittal of reports to the Air Quality shall be as follows:

Table V-B-1: Reporting Schedule

Required Report	Applicable Period	Due Date
Semiannual report for 1 st six-month period	January, February, March, April, May, June	July 30 each year ¹
Semiannual report for 2 nd six-month period; any additional annual records required	July, August, September, October, November, December	January 30 each year ¹
Annual Compliance Certification	Calendar year	January 30 each year ¹
Annual Emissions Inventory Report	Calendar year	March 31 each year ¹
Annual Emissions Statement ²	Calendar year	March 31 each year ¹
Notification of Malfunctions, Startup, Shutdowns, or Deviations with Excess Emission	As required	Within 24 hours of the permittee learns of the event
Excess Emissions that Pose a Potential Imminent and Substantial Danger	As required	Within 12 hours of when permittee learns of event
Report of Malfunctions, Startup, Shutdowns, or Deviations with Excess Emission	As required	Within 72 hours of the notification ¹
Deviation Report without Excess Emissions	As required	Along with semiannual reports ¹
Performance Testing Protocol	As required	No less than 45 days, but no more than 90 days, before the anticipated test date ¹
Performance Testing Report	As required	Within 60 days of end of test ¹

¹If the due date falls on a federal or Nevada holiday, or on any day the office is not normally open for business, the submittal is due on the next regularly scheduled business day. ² Required only for stationary sources that emit 25 tons or more of nitrogen oxide (NO_x) and/or emit 25 tons or more of volatile

organic compounds (VOC) during a calendar year.

A statement of methods used for determining compliance, including a description of 2. monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements and test methods.

C. SUMMARY OF MONITORING FOR COMPLIANCE

Table V-C-1: CAM Summary from the Permittee and DAQ Comments

EU	Description	CAM Applicability	Comments	DAQ Comment
1C–7C	Crusher Baghouse (Unit 6)	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM/HAP PTE is above the major source threshold.	ОК
1F–11F	Finishing Baghouse (Unit 6)	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM/HAP PTE is above the major source threshold.	ОК
1M–8M	Mill Feed Baghouse (Unit 6)	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM/HAP PTE is above the major source threshold.	ОК
ABM04	Calciner Baghouse (ABM)	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM/HAP PTE is above the major source threshold.	ОК

EU	Description	CAM Applicability	AM Applicability Comments		
F006	Boron Trichloride Process (BCI ₃ Scrubber)	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM & HCI PTE is above the major source threshold.	ОК	
MN02	Hearth Baghouse	Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM/HAP PTE is above the major source threshold.	OK after permittee submitted supplemental information.	
MN03	Hearth CO Reactor	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled CO PTE is less than major source threshold.	Applicant assumes no PM/HAP control provided by reactor.	
1D	Rotary Drum Dryer w/ Collector/ Baghouse (Unit 6)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	The dryer collector/baghouse is considered "inherent process equipment" because its primary design purpose is to collect product and the system would not be economically practical to operate without its product-collecting ability. Additionally, this unit would be necessary for operations even if there weren't any emission regulations (62 FR 54913).	Baghouse was not considered "inherent" in any previous application.	
ABM01	Jet Mill Collector (ABM)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Internal filters on ABM01, ABM02 & ABM03 are considered "inherent process equipment" since the		
ABM03	DPM Collector (ABM)	Not Subject to CAM Rule primary design purpose of these units is to collect product and the		Baghouse was not considered	
ABM02	Classifier Collector (ABM)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	system would not be economically practical to operate without their product-collecting ability. These units would contain filters even if there weren't any emission regulations (62 FR 54913).	application.	
E004	Boron Furnace Baghouse	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM PTE is less than major source threshold, based on approved emissions tests.	Source PTE for PM is not major.	
E003	Boron Grinding Mill Baghouse	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled PM PTE is less than major source threshold, based on approved emission tests.	Source PTE for PM is not major.	
MN05	H ₂ S Caustic Scrubber (Leach Plant)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled H ₂ S PTE is less than major source threshold, based on the following: outlet controlled PTE	Source PTE for	
COVINI	H ₂ S Lime Scrubber (Leach Plant)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	8,760 hr/yr of operations, and 99% scrubber control efficiency.	major.	
A05	Boiler #1 (Cleaver Brooks)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled NO _x PTE is less than the major source threshold, based on AP-42 NO _x emission factor for natural gas fired boilers rated at <100 MMBtu/hr.	Source PTE for NO _x is not major. AP-42 is not used in calculating NO _x .	

EU	Description	CAM Applicability	Comments	DAQ Comment
A07	Boiler #3 (Murray Trane)	Not Subject to CAM Rule	Uncontrolled NO _x PTE is less than major source threshold, based on AP-42 NO _x emission factor for natural gas fired boilers rated at <100 MMBtu/hr.	
MN04	EMD Cells	Not Subject to CAM Rule	The paraffin wax coating on the EMD cells is considered "inherent process equipment." the primary purpose of this coating is to retain heat within the EMD cell. The paraffin wax coating would be employed regardless of emission regulations.	Source PTE for H ₂ SO ₄ is not major.

The permittee performed a CAM plan analysis on the emission units subject to CAM and identified indicators and frequencies, shown in Table V-C-2.

EU	Description	Indica	itor #1	Indica	Indicator #2			
1C – 7C	Crusher Baghouse (Unit 6)	Daily visible emission checks		Daily visible emission checks		Daily baghou pres	Daily baghouse differential pressure	
1F – 11F	Finishing Baghouse (Unit 6)	Daily visibl che	Daily visible emission checks		Daily visible emission checks Daily baghouse differential pressure		ОК	
1M - 8M	Mill Feed Baghouse (Unit 6)	Daily visibl che	Daily visible emission checks		Daily baghouse differential pressure			
ABM04	Calciner Baghouse (ABM)	Daily visibl che	Daily visible emission checks		Daily baghouse differential pressure			
		Indicator #1	Indicator #2	Indicator #3	Indicator #4			
F006	Boron Trichloride Process (BCl ₃ Scrubber)	Daily visible emission checks	Daily visible emission checks Continuous readout scrubber fan demister differential pressure		Daily caustic circulation solution strength.	ОК		
MN02	Hearth Baghouse	Daily visit ch	Daily visible emission checks		Daily visible emission Daily b checks		use differential essure	ОК

Table V-C-2: CAM Applicable Emission Units and Findings

VI. EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS (OFFSETS)

None.

VII. MODELING

A. INCREMENT ANALYSIS

DAQ applied the AERMOD model to track increment consumption. Stack data submitted by the applicant were supplemented with information available for similar emission units. Five years (2011–2015) of meteorological data from the McCarran Station were used in the model. National Elevation Dataset terrain data from the U.S. Geological Survey was used to calculate elevations. Table VII-A-1 presents the model results.

Dollutant	Averaging	PSD Increment Consumption	Location of Maximum Impact		
Pollulani	Period	by Source (μg/m³)	Location of W UTM X (m) 679947 679947 680062	UTM Y (m)	
SO ₂	3-hour	3.50 ¹	679947	3990831	
SO ₂	24-hour	1.76 ¹	679947	3990831	
SO ₂	Annual	0.48	680062	3990521	
NOx	Annual	15.00	680348	3990510	

Table VII-A-1: PSD Increment Cons

¹Second-high concentration.

Table VII-A-1 shows the location of the maximum impact and the potential PSD increment consumed by the source at that location. The impacts are below the PSD increment limits.

B. PRECONSTRUCTION MODELING

Preconstruction modeling is not required for this action.

VIII. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

An EJSCREEN was performed for this source using a 3 mile buffer. No undue impacts on underserved/disadvantaged communities were noted. A full output report is included in the source file. This action only adds 0.01 tpy PM₁₀ and 0.01 tpy PM_{2.5}. As such, Environmental Justice will be evaluated in greater depth during the upcoming permit renewal.

IX. PERMIT SHIELD

A permit shield has not been requested by the permittee.

X. STREAMLINING

EU ID	Descrip- tion	Const Date	Applicable NSPS/NESH AP	Emission Limit (gr/dscf)	Opacity Limit	Permit Emission Limit	Permit Opacity Limit	Streamlining Statement
A07	Boiler	Pre 1989	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	Not applicable to natural gas fueled boilers	Not applicable to natural gas fueled boilers	Based on performance test	20%	The permit limit is equal to, or more restrictive than, the regulatory limit through application of AQR 26, and management and administrative practices.
A05	Boiler	Post 1989	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Dc and 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD	Not applicable to natural gas fueled boilers	Not applicable to natural gas fueled boilers	Based on performance test	20%	The permit limit is equal to, or more restrictive than, the regulatory limit through application of AQR 26, and management and administrative practices
A01- A04	Emergency engines	Pre 2006	40 CFR Part 60, Subpart ZZZZ	Not applicable to emergency engines	None	None	20%	The permit opacity limit from AQR 26 is equal to, or more restrictive than, the regulatory limit.

Table X-1: Streamlining

XI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

This action is an AQR 12.4.3.2(d). The action is significant because of the Hearing Officer's Orders. A public participation will be required.

XII. ATTACHMENTS

For a complete source-wide spreadsheet of the applicability and PTE calculations, and EJSCREEN report, see the source file.